

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,883	10/814,883 03/31/2004		Kevin J. Orvek	10559-932001	7571	
20985	7590	09/14/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI		SON, PC	STARK, JARRETT J			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	,			2823	<u></u>	
				DATE MAILED: 09/14/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)
ORVEK, KEVIN J.
Art Unit
2823

	Janell J. Slark	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REFLT WAS I	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriately set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,5,6,8,13 and 18</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,7,9-12 and 14-16</u> .			
Claim(s) velected: 7,2,4,7,3-72 and 74-70. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the control of the con	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	•		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A door NOT along the conditions	:dition for allawa	and banguage
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
•			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments filed 9/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the Applicant's inventions is "configured to ... ", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

The proposed amendments do not change the scope of the rejected claims and do not contain the previously indicated allowable subject matter. Thus, the rejection is not withdrawn..

MICHELLE ESTRADA PRIMARY EXAMINER